
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15/03/2021 5:50:36 AM
To: Tax Reform Taskforce [taxreformtaskforce@treasury.nsw.gov.au]
Subject: NSW Proposed Property Tax Consultation Paper

Dear NSW Treasury,

Thank you for allowing the opportunity to respond to the NSW Proposed Property Tax consultation paper.

As a property owner, investor/landlord and community member please find below the concerns and feedback on the NSW Proposed Property Tax.

Unless particular items below are acted upon by Government, we will find ourselves paying much more property taxes in the future than we do now.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION LEFT OUT OF GOVERNMENT SURVEY

Q4 of the Government Survey asked

"How important would each of the following be to you when deciding to purchase a residential property in NSW? "

Nowhere in the options available was there a possible answer of the greatest interest to almost all property owners.

Land Tax was not offered as an option for comment. Property Tax was not offered as an option for comment.

And yet, current Land Tax and possibly the new Property Tax may well possibly be the main reason that property owners do not purchase in NSW.

LOSS OF LAND TAX THRESHOLD

Of major concern is the fact that the Government has not specified how the annual increase in the existing land tax thresholds will be calculated or if indeed, there will be land tax threshold increases each year as there has been in the past.

If Land Tax thresholds are not increased in the same manner in which they are currently done pursuant to section 62TBB (3) of the *Land Tax Management Act 1956*, ("*the percentage by which average land values of land within residential, commercial, business and industrial zones have changed between 1 July one year and 1 July the next*"), then it will be obvious that this lack of increasing threshold that has existed in the past is not being renewed in order to specifically force investment land owners move over to the new Property Tax.

Over the last 10 years, the average percentage increase of the General and Premium land tax threshold has been 6.6%. With increasing land values without a corresponding increase in the land tax threshold, all investment property owners will eventually be hit with land tax once their land values eventually exceed the current stationary threshold.

If that is the case then no property investor will want anything to do with the proposed Property Tax.

THE EFFECT ON SMALL SELF FUNDED RETIREMENT INVESTMENT OWNERS

The proposed Property Tax payment scheme for investors in the long term is unsustainable. Property is used by the majority of property investors as a vehicle to build equity for retirement and thus allow the individual to fund their own retirement rather than rely on Government handouts.

Property Tax will hinder middle class working small (typically denoted as “Mum and Dad”) investors to build their retirement funds as costs keep increasing.

Property owners (in particularly investors) are now being continually penalised or disadvantaged by Government policy, higher lending rates and tenancy legislations that make investing in property more difficult and less financially viable.

HOW CAN THE ELDERLY MEET NEW PROPERTY TAX PAYMENTS FOR THEIR OWN HOME

We are concerned that the focus of the Government is on the deflection of the real issue which is to introduce a new money train for the Government with property purchases. Is the Government able to explain how, in 30-40 years’ time, an elderly person is going to meet an additional land tax impost on their home, when currently most struggle to pay their rates and power bills in today’s market? Will this not only increase this hardship and force more elderly home owners on to Government assistance again?

WHY NOT SIMPLY CHANGE THE STAMP DUTY TO A FAIRER RATE?

When Stamp Duty was first introduced by the NSW Government it was at 0.5%. If the Government wants a fairer tax then Stamp Duty should revert more to the original level than the current 4+% which has only disadvantaged property buyers across NSW. That would be a fairer system for all people purchasing property.

BAD DEAL FOR THE MAJORITY OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY INVESTORS LOSS OF LAND TAX THRESHOLD

The new Property Tax proposal does not include increasing the old land tax threshold at all let alone anywhere near its current average annual increase of around 6.6%. If there is no increase in the land tax threshold, this means that over time those current investment owners with only one or two properties will end up paying land tax as their total land value grows and begins to exceeds the 2021 land tax threshold of \$755,000.

NOT LOCKED IN TO PROPERTY TAX

All purchasers should have the choice of paying stamp duty / land tax or paying the new Property Tax. One shouldn't have to pay Property Tax just because the owner before you opted to.

The new Property Tax should not be a perpetual tax but should be capped at the equivalent of what the stamp duty & land tax would have been. This way the purchaser is not worse off overall.

CHOOSING OTHER STATES OR ASSET CLASSES

When a person buys an investment property the amount of rent to be received is mostly based on similar prices in that area. If the rental cannot be increased in order to offset the annual Property Tax because the property rental is now too high and the property cannot be leased, then that investor would either have to choose to invest in other states that don't have such a Property Tax or, if all states begin to move to such an annual tax without threshold, would have to move to another asset class such as shares. It is interesting that other asset classes such as shares do not have any such annual tax based on their value as at a certain date each year.

PROPERTY TAX FOREVER

Trying to look positively at the new Property Tax proposal, the biggest concern is the ongoing Property Tax with no end date is actually providing a disadvantage to those who cannot afford a lump sum.

Ongoing Property Tax should be limited and capped with a fixed amount. Such an annual Property Tax will be expensive for holding any property for the longer term. From NSW property owners’ points of view, the Government may as well keep the one off stamp duty tax as it is now.

This new scheme disadvantages buyers who hold property for the long term as they will pay more than the initial stamp duty. The annual Property Tax should stop when the buyer has paid out the same amount as the initial stamp duty would have been.

AMORTISE STAMP DUTY

If you elect stamp duty then why not pay it off over a standard 5 year period? This will allow those with smaller deposits the ability to purchase (first home owners can enter the market a little easier)

REMOVE STAMP DUTY AND INCREASE GST

Land tax is a bad answer - the Government(s) should stop slugging all types of property owners with excessive tax for trying to put roofs over people's heads including their own and raise GST on non-essentials - or other consumption based taxes instead.

The huge surge in retail and online spending proves there's no shortage of cash to be spent - stop taxing necessities and hard-working people trying to get ahead or ensure a comfortable retirement, and instead tax those who can afford to buy overpriced fashion clothes, huge TVs and luxury cars.

WILL AFFECT WHICH PROPERTY I PURCHASE

I would look to purchase investment properties that were either in NSW and are not paying the Property Tax or would simply buy outside of NSW. This new tax introduction could mean it may be better to purchase in states outside of NSW.

CAPPING OF PROPERTY TAX TIME PERIOD

A positive of such new Property Tax annual payments is affordability and thus labour mobility as demonstrated by one of the Case Studies in the "What This Could Mean for You" Government examples. Annual payments should not prejudice those holding properties longer term i.e. they should be capped.

To encourage business and investment generally, they should be taxed less, not more. The consequence would then be an increased supply of business services and goods and of rental properties which should place downward pressure on prices and rents.

PROPERTY TAX THRESHOLD??

Perhaps the Government should consider a threshold for each property in much the same way that currently exists for Land Tax. This way, Owner Occupiers would still maintain some form of advantage whilst the small property investor would not try and increase rent to cover such a large cost.

-----End-----

